

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/009,799	10/30/2002	Ralph Etienne-Cummings	03940012AA	1099		
30743	7590 07/27/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C.			MARC, MC	MARC, MCDIEUNEL		
11491 SUNS SUITE 340	SET HILLS ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RESTON, V	/A 20190	3661				
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action						
Before th	ne Filing d	of an App	oeal Brief			

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/009,799	ETIENNE-CUMMINGS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
McDieunel Marc	3661		

Advisory Action	10/009,799	ETENNE-COMMING	35 ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	McDieunel Marc	3661					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 3 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION I	N CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
<ul> <li>a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.</li> </ul>							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because  (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			•				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).				
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s)</li> </ul>		timely filed amendme	nt canceling the				
non-allowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>52-54 and 65-67</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>2,3,10,15,24,28,29,36,41 and 49</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,4-8,11-14,16-23,25-27,30-34,37-40,4</u>	12 49 50 54 and 55 64						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	<u>12-40,50,51 and 55-04.</u>						
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>	d sufficient reasons why the affidav	it or other evidence is	necessary and				
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li> </ol>	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a ).				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	•				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>Claim limitations are taught to the extent necessary to the actual claim language.</u>							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).  13. Other:  THOMAS G. BLACK  THOMAS G. BLACK							
		THOMAS	G. BLACK				